



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,821	03/31/2004	Yuichi Ichikawa	9683/184	5312
27879	7590	04/04/2008	EXAMINER	
INDIANAPOLIS OFFICE 27879			CAMPOS, YAIMA	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 1600				2185
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2033				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/04/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentofficeactions@brinkshofer.com
svessely@usebrinks.com
dhasler@usebrinks.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/814,821	Applicant(s) ICHIKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner YAIMA CAMPOS	Art Unit 2185

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YAIMA CAMPOS. (3) Sanders N. Hillis (Reg. No. 45,712).

(2) Sanjiv Shah. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 March 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 6-11, 13-16, 18-38.

Identification of prior art discussed: "Applet Caching in Java Plug-in" and Suzaki (US 2004/0078636).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and Examiners discussed prior art of record and proposed claim amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sanjiv Shah/
SPE, Art Unit 2185

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required